

## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/247,886	02/10/99	PUNNONEN		J	18097-030200	
- 022798		HM12/0620	$\neg$	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN ALAN QUINE				CHEN, S		
P 0 BOX 458				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALAMEDA CA S	94501			1633	16	
				06/20/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

<u>.</u>		Anniination No		A					
		Application No. 09/247,886		Applicant(s) PUNNONEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Shin-Lin Chen		1633					
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication appe		et with the co		ldress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however within the statutory minimur vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be time of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the Come ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u>\pril 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-23 and 51-64 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 51-64</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.									
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).									
. , <u> </u>									
Attachment(s)									
16) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) 🔲 N		y (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application (					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Art Unit: 1633

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Applicants' amendment filed 4-17-01 has been entered. Claims 24-50 has been canceled. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 12-19, 22, 23, 51, 53-58 have been amended. Claims 59-64 have been

added.

Claims 51-58 have been rejoined with claims 1-23 for examination after reconsideration of

the subject matter of claims 51-58. Thus, claims 1-23 and 51-64 are pending and under

consideration.

Claim Objection

Claims 15 and 16 are objected because they are duplicate claims. Claims 15 and 16 both

are directed to a composition for eliciting an immune response that comprises a cell-specific

recombinant binding moiety obtained by the method of claim 2. Appropriate correction is

required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/247,886

Art Unit: 1633

2. Claims 2-23 and 51-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "recombining at least first and second forms of at least one nucleic acid which comprises a polynucleotide that encodes a nucleic acid binding domain" in claim 2 section (1) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear which form of nucleic acid encodes a nucleic acid binding domain or whether another form of nucleic acid encodes a nucleic acid binding domain. Similarly, the phrase "at least first and second forms of at least one nucleic acid which comprises a polynucleotide that encodes a cell-specific ligand" in claim 2 is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear which form of nucleic acid encodes a cell-specific ligand or whether another form of nucleic acid encodes a cell-specific ligand.

The phrase "one or more members of a **library of vectors**" in claim 2 section (2) and claim 3 section (7) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. There is no antecedent basis for the "library of vectors". It is unclear what "library of vectors" is intended.

The phrase "binding the expressed recombinant binding moiety to a vector" in claim 2 section (3) and claim 3 section (8) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear where the "expressed recombinant binding moiety" comes from because the "expressed recombinant binding moiety" has not been recovered from the transfected host cells.

The phrase "determining if one or more target cells contains a vector" in claim 2 section (5) and claim 3 section (9) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear what "vector" is

Application/Control Number: 09/247,886

Art Unit: 1633

intended, is it the "vector-binding moeity complex" or any other "vector"? Claims 3-15 and 60-63 depend on claim 2 and fail to clarify the indefiniteness set forth above.

The phrase "recombining at least first and second forms of at least one nucleic acid that comprises a polynucleotide that encodes a binding moiety of an enterotoxin" in claim 18 section (1) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear which form of nucleic acid encodes a binding moiety of an enterotoxin or whether another form of nucleic acid encodes a binding moiety of an enterotoxin.

The phrase "one or more members of a library of vectors" in claim 18 section (2) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. There is no antecedent basis for the "library of vectors". It is unclear what "library of vectors" is intended.

The phrase "contacting the recombinant cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide with a cell surface receptor" in claim 18 section (3) is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear where the "recombinant cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide" comes from because the "cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide" has not been recovered from the transfected host cells. Claims 19-23 depend on claim 18 and fail to clarify the indefiniteness set forth above.

The phrase "vaccine antigen" in claims 51, 53 and 64 is vague and render the claim indefinite. A "vaccine antigen" could be a DNA, RNA, a peptide, a polypeptide, a polysaccharide, or any molecule or cell that can stimulate an immune response in a host for vaccination purpose. It is unclear what type of "vaccine antigen" is intended in the present

Art Unit: 1633

application. The specification fails to specifically define the phrase "vaccine antigen". Claims 52 and 54-58 depend on claim 51 and fail to clarify the phrase "vaccine antigen".

The phrase "in at least on form of at least one nucleic acid of (1)" in claim 59 line 2 is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear what the phrase "in at least on form of at least one nucleic acid of (1)" mean and what is intended to be claimed.

In general, the method claims are indefinite because there is a disconnect between the steps of the method. The subsequent steps of the method do not follow from the previous step such that the material used is not provided for elsewhere in the claims. Each claim should be thoroughly reviewed for definiteness.

Claim 17 is indefinite because the phrase "a polynucleotide sequence that is capable of expressing an antigen comprises a binding site" is unclear. The phrase is grammatically incorrect. It should be re-worded to point out that the polynucleotide expresses an antigen and comprises the binding site delineated by the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 51-58 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for producing and screening a cell-specific

Art Unit: 1633

binding moiety nucleic acid, containing a nucleic acid encoding a nucleic acid binding domain and a cell-specific ligand that specifically binds to the surface of the target cell, useful for increasing uptake or specificity of a genetic vaccine for a target cell and the expressed binding moiety polypeptide can bind to a polynucleotide sequence comprising the binding site for said nucleic acid binding domain, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of producing and screening the cell-specific binding moiety that could fuse to, link to, or coat on any vaccine antigen other than the polynucleotide comprising the nucleic acid binding site. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 51-58 and 64 are directed to a method for producing and screening a cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide useful for increasing uptake or specificity of a vaccine antigen for a target cell by recombining at least first and second forms of a nucleic acid encoding a cell specific binding moiety to create a library of recombinant binding moiety-encoding nucleic acids, expression of said recombinant binding moiety, to screen the optimized recombinant cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide, and to fuse, link, or coat the recombinant cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide to a vaccine antigen.

The claims encompass any type of vaccine antigen that includes a DNA, RNA, a peptide, a polypeptide, a polysaccharide, or any molecule or cell that can stimulate an immune response in a host for vaccination purpose. The cell-specific binding moiety encoded by a polynucleotide encompasses binding activity to a polynucleotide or a polypeptide. The specification of the

Art Unit: 1633

present application only teaches the binding of a cell-specific binding moiety containing a nucleic acid binding domain to a polynucleotide comprising a binding site for said nucleic acid binding domain. The specification fails to provide adequate guidance and evidence for fusing, linking, or coating of a cell-specific binding moiety to any vaccine antigen other than the polynucleotide sequence set forth above. It is unclear how a cell-specific binding moiety polypeptide, having any binding activity, would fuse to, link to, or coat on a DNA, RNA, a polypeptide, a polysaccharide, or any molecule or cell that can stimulate an immune response in a host for vaccination. It is understood that the utility of the cell-specific binding moiety produced and screened by the claimed method is to provide a more efficient carrier for an antigen to obtain efficient vaccination. Absent the teachings of fusing, linking, or coating the binding moiety polypeptide to any DNA, RNA, any polypeptide, any polysaccharide, or any molecule or cell that can stimulate an immune response in a host for vaccination, one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would not know how to use the claimed invention and require undue experimentation to practice over the full scope of the invention claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1633

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 14-16 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmer et al. 1997 (AG) in view of Ledley et al., 1994 (AH) and Pattern et al., 1997 (BG).

Claim 1 is directed to a method for producing and screening a cell-specific binding molecule useful for increasing uptake or specificity of a genetic vaccine for a target cell by recombining at least first and second forms of a nucleic acid encoding a nucleic acid binding domain and a cell-specific ligand that specifically binds to the surface of the target cell to create a library of recombinant binding moiety-encoding nucleic acids and to screen the optimized recombinant cell-specific binding moiety nucleic acids. Claim 14-16 and 58 are directed to a cell specific recombinant binding moiety produced by the method set forth above and a composition containing said cell-specific recombinant binding moiety.

Stemmer teaches a method for the production of nucleic acid fragments or polynucleotides encoding mutant proteins by repeated cycles of mutagenesis, shuffling and selection of nucleic

Art Unit: 1633

acids to generate polynucleotides having desired characteristic by iterative selection and recombination for the molecular evolution *in vitro* or *in vivo* of proteins (e.g. abstract). Stemmer teaches a method of evolving a polynucleotide sequence toward a desired property comprising recombining at least a first and second forms of the polynucleotide sequence to produce a library of recombinant forms of the sequence, screening at least a first recombinant sequence from said library, recombining said first recombinant sequence with a further form of the polynucleotide sequence, the same or different from the first and second forms, to produce a further library, and screening at least one further recombinant polynucleotide from said further library (e.g. p.164).

Stemmer does not teach generating a chimeric recombinant DNA comprising a DNA binding domain and a ligand which binds to the surface of a target cell.

Ledley teaches generating a chimeric recombinant DNA-binding protein comprising a first element for binding to a receptor on a target cell and a second element required for binding to DNA, such as histone or transacting regulatory element, and a complex for gene transfer comprising a DNA molecule specifically and non-specifically bound to the chimeric recombinant DNA-binding protein (e.g. p. 26, 27, abstract).

Patten teaches "viral vaccine vectors can be enhanced by DNA shuffling to give desired properties of tropism, stability and expression level", and DNA shuffling could be a tool "for increasing the efficiency and success rate of the development of novel whole organism, viral, bacterial and recombinant protein vaccines" (e.g. p. 732).

Art Unit: 1633

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the first and second forms of polynucleotide sequences taught by Stemmer with polynucleotide sequences encoding a DNA-binding element and a ligand binding to a receptor on a target cell as taught by Ledley for the production of a genetic vaccine as taught by Patten.

One having ordinary skill at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do so because the generation of a chimeric recombinant DNA-binding protein comprising a first element for binding to a receptor on a target cell and a second element required for binding to DNA could facilitate the efficiency of gene transfer and the effects of a genetic vaccine to stimulate immune response in a host.

It should be noted that the method of making a composition does not carry weight in the 103(a) rejection of the composition claims.

Applicants argue that Ledley and Patten do not teach or suggest "creating a library of recombinant polynucleotides" by recombining a nucleic acid encoding one function with another nucleic acid encoding a different function (amendment, page 16). This is not found persuasive because Stemmer teaches a method of evolving a polynucleotide sequence toward a desired property comprising recombining at least a first and second forms of the polynucleotide sequence to produce a library of recombinant forms of the sequence, screening at least a first recombinant sequence from said library, recombining said first recombinant sequence with a further form of the polynucleotide sequence, the same or different from the first and second forms, to produce a

Application/Control Number: 09/247,886

Art Unit: 1633

further library, and screening at least one further recombinant polynucleotide from said further

library. The collective teachings of Stemmer, Ledley, and Patten would provide motivation for

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to practice the claimed invention in

claim 1.

Conclusion

Claims 1-23 and 51-64 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Clark can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this

group is (703) 308-4242.

Questions of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Kimberly Davis, whose

telephone number is (703) 305-3015.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 11

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600